**Standard Allocation Strategy**

|  |
| --- |
| **Allocation Details** |
| Allocation Title | *In maximum of 15 words, please provide a title for the allocation. For example: “Lifesaving response to worsening drought in hotspot locations within Puntland and Jubaland”* |
| Allocation Type and Round | *Add description of the allocation type and round* |
| Allocation Amount | *U*S*$ XX,XXX,XXX* |
| Emergency Type | *Conflict-related Crisis* |
| Emergency Sub-types | *Violence/Clashes* |
| Emergency Triggered Date (optional) | *DD MMM YYYY*  |
| Allocation Launch Date | *DD MMM YYYY* |
| Proposal Submission Deadline | *DD MMM YYYY* |
| **Section 1: Strategic** **Statement** |
|  ***In a maximum of 200 words****, summarize the intent of the allocation in line with the guidance below. Indicate events or triggers leading up to the allocation, allocation priority(ies) (listed in section 3) and main rationale. Refrain from going into too much detail, extensive use of jargon and acronyms, or simply listing clusters, regions or amounts unless crucial for understanding. The statement should be able to answer the following questions:* * ***Why now:*** *Why is this particular moment favorable to achieving the strategic added value? In what way is this moment critical and requires an injection of resources by the CBPF focusing on the big picture, in relation to contextual events that have prompted the need for this allocation now (name trigger/s).*
* ***Value added:*** *How will this allocation make a difference in the operating context, focusing on the strategic added value and the impact it can have in the operating environment (beyond saving lives, and addressing operational requirements, which can be articulated in section three of this paper)? ​ Linked with the HC Vision Paper and the operational context of the Fund, describe how the allocation will apply areas of Effective Programming outlined for CBPFs in the Global Guidelines (Chapter 3).*

*Examples could include, but are not limited to, kickstarting operations in new locations, addressing strategic cross-cutting priorities, enhancing political buy in for humanitarian action, positioning the humanitarian community vis-à-vis a certain issue or challenge, responding to a suddenly deteriorated humanitarian situation, catalyzing additional resources, or introducing new ways of working and best practices. ​* |
|  |
| **Section 2: Humanitarian Context**  |
| *In a maximum of 250 words, explain the humanitarian situation in the country referring to relevant response plans (i.e., HRP, flash appeal) and/or other resource mobilization documents. In addition, provide analysis of the​ humanitarian needs and details of the affected population this allocation will respond to.* |
|  |
| **Section 3. Allocation Priority/(ies)**  |
| **3.1 Overview:***Specify the priority, indicative amount allocated, geographic location and estimate of people targeted. Ensure these priorities are referenced in the Strategic Statement. Please avoid generic referencing to sectors/clusters here.* |
| ***Priority description[[1]](#footnote-2)*** | ***Prioritized activities*** | ***Amount allocated*** | ***Geographic Location[[2]](#footnote-3)*** | ***People Targeted (with CBPF Funds)*** |
| *Address lifesaving needs of IDPs affected by flooding* | 1. *Distribution of emergency shelter to IDPs, including tents, emergency shelter kits and containers*
2. *Conduct shelter repairs and upgrades for partially and minimally damaged shelters*
3. *Construct additional space for overcrowded shelters/ collective centers*
4. *Provide cash for shelter*
 | *US$ 5 million* | *Puntland and Jubaland* | *200,000* |
| *Prevent further spread of and treat people affected by waterborne diseases* | 1. *Provide water purification supplies to IDPs*
2. *Provide healthcare services through static facilities and mobile clinics*
 | *US$ 5 million* | *Jubaland* | *50,000* |
| ***Total*** |  | ***US$ 10 million*** |  | ***250,000*** |
| **Section 4.1 CERF Complementarity (when applicable)** |
| *In a maximum of 200 words, describe complementarity with the CERF highlighting some specificities such as geographic location, prioritized activities, response timeframe and other aspects. If applicable, reference the specific allocation and amount.*  |
|  |
| **Section 4.2 Other Complementarity**  |
| *In a maximum of 200 words, describe complementarity with other funding including previous or current allocations for the same emergency type, and other funding sources including development and peacebuilding funding streams. Highlight some specificities such as geographic location, prioritized activities, response timeframe and other aspects. If applicable, reference the specific allocation and amount.* |
|  |
| **Section 5. Project Selection Criteria** |
| *Please describe criteria that will be used for the review and recommendation of projects. The criteria listed below should be in line with the indicators considered in the scorecard, e.g. strategic relevance, quality programming, monitoring and cost effectiveness. The key principles of inclusive programming should be systematically incorporated and the programming areas of contextualization should be incorporated where feasible, considering but not limited to the HC Vision for the Fund.****Project Selection Criteria, e.g.:**** ***Localization:*** *Submission by national and local organizations is encouraged.*
* ***Quality programming:*** *The proposal has a robust risk management plan and strategy, and clearly explains how risks relevant to the project is identified, mitigated and managed.*
* ***Accountability to affected people:*** *The proposal has a robust AAP mechanism and contributes to collective AAP mechanisms.*
* ***Monitoring:*** *The proposal includes a comprehensive and appropriate monitoring plan to measure results and quality of services provided.*
* ***Value for money:*** *The project budget is cost effective, competitive, and realistic in view of activities planned and other resources available.*
 |
|  |
| **Section 6.**  **Eligibility criteria for this Allocation** |
| *Please describe the criteria considered by HFU during the preliminary screening of submitted proposals.* ***Allocation eligibility criteria, e.g.:*** * *Partners can submit a maximum of two proposals.*
* *Funding ceilings as stipulated in the CBPF Operational Manual must be respected.*
* *Partners with high risk level and pending refunds are ineligible to apply for this allocation.*
* *Outstanding refunds/ reports/ management response to audit findings must be settled before receiving new grants.*
* *The partner must be an active member in the cluster(s) related to the proposed activities.*
* *Eligibility assessment is conducted annually/biannually/rolling basis and no capacity assessments will be conducted at the time of the allocation.*
 |
|  |
| **Section 7: Process and Timeline**  |
| **7.1 Allocation Strategy Development Process​***Please describe the consultation process to develop the Allocation Strategy.* |
|  |
| **7.2 Allocation Timeline** |
| **Standard** **Allocation** **Workflow** | **Start Date** | **End Date** | **Responsible body** |
| Step 1. Allocation Strategy development *including consultations with the AB, OCHA sub-offices, sectors/clusters and/or other coordination mechanism and other stakeholders* |  |  | OCHA/HFU |
| Step 2. Submission of project proposal(s) |  |  | Partners  |
| Step 3. Review of projects proposal(s) |  |  | OCHA/HFU, Review Committees  |
| Step 4. Final technical and financial review |  |  | OCHA/HFU, technical experts, Partners, CBPF Section  |
| Step 5. Final approval by HC and Grant Agreement |  |  | OCHA/HFU, HC |
| Step 6. Disbursement |  |  | OCHA/CBPF Section |
| **Section 8: HFU Contacts and Complaints** |
| **8.1 Key Contacts** |
| *Please provide key contacts for the allocation:**- OCHA HFU – Fund Manager**- OCHA Head of Office**- OCHA sub-office, if applicable* |
| **8.2 Complaints and Feedback Mechanism:***Please provide guidance on the existing complaints and feedback mechanisms. Examples could include, Partners must immediately (within 48 hours) notify OCHA of an incident that may impact OCHA-funded activities or personnel, even if the events or their impact on OCHA funding are not yet confirmed. Early disclosure of suspicions by the IP shows transparency and good faith, which will be taken into consideration when determining measures required. In your description include the standardized e-mail address and hotline service number of the Fund. Please also briefly explain the review of the feedback and complaints (e.g., compilation by OCHA Country Office, review by the OCHA Head of Office, if necessary, raised to the HC) and stress the importance of confidentiality to protect users.* |
|  |
| **Section 9: List of Annexes**  |
| *For example:**Annex 1: Programmatic guidance**Annex 2: Budget preparation guidelines* |

*The United Nations does not charge fees for any CBPF processes (incl. for funding eligibility reviews and assessments, applications, selections and proposals, partner strategic and technical reviews, any approvals incl. grant agreements, trainings and capacity building initiatives, waivers, certifications, etc.).*

1. If multiple emergency types and sub-types are linked, please refer to these here. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Optional to use Admin 1/Admin 2 level locations or attach list of locations as annex. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)